Network behavior in thin film growth dynamics
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We present a network modeling approach for various thin film growth techniques that incorporates re-emitted particles due to the nonunity sticking coefficients. We model re-emission of a particle from one surface site to another one as a network link and generate a network model corresponding to the thin film growth. Monte Carlo simulations are used to grow films and dynamically track the trajectories of re-emitted particles. We performed simulations for normal incidence, oblique angle, and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) techniques. Each deposition method leads to a different dynamic evolution of surface morphology due to different sticking coefficients involved and different strength of shadowing effect originating from the obliquely incident particles. Traditional dynamic-scaling analysis on surface morphology cannot point to any universal behavior. On the other hand, our detailed network analysis reveals that there exist universal behaviors in degree distributions, weighted average degree versus degree, and distance distributions independent of the sticking coefficient used and sometimes even independent of the growth technique. We also observe that network traffic during high-sticking coefficient CVD and oblique-angle deposition occurs mainly among edges of the columnar structures formed while it is more uniform and short range among hills and valleys of small sticking coefficient CVD and normal-angle depositions that produce smoother surfaces.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Thin film coatings have been the essential components of various devices in industries including microelectronics, optoelectronics, detectors, sensors, micro-electro-mechanical systems, and more recently nano-electro-mechanical systems. Commonly employed thin film deposition techniques are thermal evaporation, sputter deposition, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and oblique-angle deposition. Different than others, oblique-angle-deposition technique is typically used for the growth of nanostructured arrays of rods and springs through a physical self-assembly process. In many applications, it is often desired to have atomically flat thin film surfaces. However, in almost all of the deposition techniques mentioned above, the surface morphology generates a growth-front roughness. The formation of growth front is a complex phenomenon and very often occurs far from equilibrium. When atoms are deposited on a surface, atoms do not arrive at the surface at the same time uniformly across the surface. This random fluctuation, or noise, which is in-
surfaces with columnar structures that can also be engineered to form “nanostructures” under extreme shadowing conditions, as in the case of oblique-angle deposition that can produce arrays of nanorods and nanosprings.6–11 In addition, depending on the detailed deposition process, particles can either stick to or bounce off from their impact points, which is determined by a sticking probability also named as “sticking coefficient” (s). Nonsticking particles are re-emitted and can arrive at other surface points including shadowed valleys. In other words, re-emission has a smoothening effect while shadowing tries to roughen the surface. Both the shadowing and re-emission effects have been proven to be dominant over the surface diffusion and noise and act as the main drivers of the dynamical surface growth front.9,10 The prevailing effects of shadowing and re-emission rely on their “nonlocal” character: The growth of a given surface point depends on the heights of near and far-away surface locations due to shadowing and existence of re-emitted particles that can travel over long distances.

Figure 3 summarizes some of the experimentally measured sticking-coefficient values reported in the literature during evaporation,24 sputtering25–32 and CVD (Refs. 33–39) growth of various thin film materials. Names of incident atoms/molecules on the growing film are also labeled. It can be clearly seen from Fig. 3 that incident particles can have sticking probabilities much less than unity in many commonly used deposition systems, which further indicates that re-emission effects should be taken into account in attempts for a realistic thin film growth modeling.

Due to the complexity of the shadowing and re-emission effects, no growth model has been developed yet within the framework of dynamical-scaling theory that take into both these effects and still that can be analytically solved to predict the morphological evolution of thin film or nanostructure deposition.40 Only recently, shadowing and re-emission effects could be fully incorporated into the Monte Carlo lattice simulation approaches.10,14–17,22,23,40–43 These simulations successfully predicted the experimental results including the β values reported in the literature (see Fig. 1).20 However, like in experiments, β values from simulations ranged all the way from 0 to 1 depending on the sticking coefficients used. For example, Fig. 4 shows β values for a Monte Carlo simulated CVD growth obtained for various sticking coefficient and surface-diffusion values.15,17,22,23 It has been observed that re-emission and shadowing effects dominated over the surface diffusion processes due to their long-range nonlocal character. At small sticking coefficients (e.g., s < 0.5) re-emission was stronger than the roughening effects of shadowing and Monte Carlo simulations produced smooth surfaces with small β values. At higher sticking coefficients, shadowing effect becomes the dominant process and columnar rough morphologies start to form. On the other hand, like in experiments, it was not possible to capture a “universal” growth behavior using Monte Carlo simulation approaches, which would lead to dynamically common
In this work, we explore a radically new “network” modeling approach for the dynamical growth of a large variety of thin film growth systems that can potentially capture universal properties of film-growth process and at the same time not suffer from the shortcomings of dynamic scaling theory and Monte Carlo Simulation approaches mentioned above.

Network modeling pervades various areas of science ranging from sociology to statistical physics or computer science, see Ref. 44, and the references therein. A network in terms of modeling can be defined as a set of items, referred to as nodes with links connecting them. Examples of real-life complex networks include the Internet, the World Wide Web, metabolic networks, transportation networks, social networks, etc. Recent studies show that many of these networks share common properties such as having a low degree of separation among the nodes (modeled as Small-World Networks45) and having a power-law degree or connectivity distributions (modeled as Scale-Free Networks46).

II. ORIGINS OF NETWORK BEHAVIOR DURING THIN FILM GROWTH

Interestingly, nonlocal interactions among the surface points of a growing thin film that originate from shadowing and re-emission effects (Fig. 2) can lead to nonrandom preferred trajectories of atoms/molecules before they finally stick and get deposited. For example, during re-emission, the path between two surface points where a particle bounces off from the first and head on to the second can define a “network link” between the two. If the sticking coefficient is small, then the particle can go through multiple re-emissions that form links among many more other surface points. In addition, due to the shadowing effect, higher surface points act as the locations of first-capture and centers for re-emitting the particles to other places. In this manner, hills on a growing film resembles to the network “nodes” of heavy traffic, where the traffic is composed by the amount of particles re-emitting from the nodes. In terms of network traffic, nodes can be classified as: source, sink, or router. So, the initial point or hill where an atom re-emits can correspond to a “source” in a network and the final point where the atom sticks or settles can be thought as a “sink” in the network. Similarly, the intermediate re-emission points or hills can be thought as the “routers.” Therefore, a “traffic model” for the thin film growth can then be constructed by counting the number of atoms starting from a point on the film and ending at another point on the film.

III. MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS

Development of network models by our approach requires the track record of the trajectories of re-emitted and deposited atoms or particles. Since it is not possible to experimentally track the trajectories of re-emitted and deposited atoms during dynamic thin film growth, we used three-dimensional (3D) Monte Carlo simulation approaches instead which were already shown to efficiently mimic the experimental processes and predict the correct dynamical growth morphology.9,10,16,17,22,23,41,42 In these simulations, each incident particle (atom or molecule) is represented with the di-
mension of one lattice point. As substrate, we used a \( N \times N = 512 \times 512 \) size lattice with continuous boundary conditions. A specific angular distribution for the incident flux of particles is chosen depending on the deposition technique being simulated. During normal-angle deposition, all the particles are sent from the top along the substrate normal (polar angle \( \theta = 0^\circ \)) while during oblique-angle-deposition simulations we used a grazing incidence flux where all particles are emitted at a \( \theta = 85^\circ \) angle from the substrate normal. For CVD, the incident flux had an angular spread according to the distribution function \( dP(\theta, \phi)/d\Omega = \cos \theta / \pi \), where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle.\(^{40}\)

At each simulation step, a particle is sent toward a randomly chosen lattice point on the substrate surface. Depending on the value of sticking coefficient \( s \), the particle can bounce off and re-emit to other surface points. Re-emission direction is chosen according to a cosine distribution centered around the local surface normal.\(^{40}\) At each impact, sticking coefficient can have different values represented as \( s_n \), where \( n \) is the order of re-emission (\( n = 0 \) being for the first impact). In this study, we use a constant sticking-coefficient value for all impacts (i.e., \( s_n = s \) for all \( n \)) during a given simulation, which is a process also called “all-order re-emission.”\(^{40}\) In all the emission and re-emission processes shadowing effect is included, where the particle’s trajectory can be cutoff by long surface features on its way to other surfaces. After the incident particle is deposited onto the surface, it becomes a so-called “adatom.” Adatoms can hop on the surface according to some rules of energy, which is a process mimicking the surface diffusion. However, as noted before, nonlocal processes of re-emission and shadowing are generally dominant over local surface-diffusion effects. Therefore, in this work we did not include surface diffusion in order to better distinguish the effects of re-emission and shadowing effects. After this deposition process, another particle is sent and the re-emission and deposition are repeated in a similar way.

In our simulations, deposition time \( t \) is represented by number of particles sent to the surface. Final simulation time (total number of particles sent) for all the simulations was \( t_{\text{final}} = 25 \times 10^7 \). Because of re-emission, deposition rate and therefore average film thickness \( d \) depended on the sticking coefficient \( s \) used, and changed with simulation time \( t \) approximately according to \( d \approx t \times s/(N \times N) \), where lattice size \( N \) was 512.

Furthermore, in our simulations, trajectories of particles during each re-emission process can be tracked in order to reveal the dynamic network behavior in detail. When the simulation time reaches a preset value that we called the “snapshot state,” then we label each particle sent to the surface and start recording the coordinates of lattice point where the particle impacts and also the lattice point where it is re-emitted and makes another impact. Therefore, especially a small sticking-coefficient particle can potentially make multiple re-emissions among the surface points and have multiple trajectory data. In order to increase the number of trajectory data for a better statistical analysis while keeping the surface morphology unchanged, we cancelled the final deposition of the particle sent during the trajectory-data collection process. In other words, when the simulation time reached the preset value, particles were still being sent for re-emission and collection of trajectory data, however, they were not depositing to the surface, therefore not changing the surface morphology. We collected the trajectory data of about \( 10^9 \) re-emitted particles for each snapshot state. We did not include the trajectory data of particles as they re-emitted into the space or if they cross the lattice boundaries since cross-boundary particles can lead to an artificially long trajectories due to the continuous boundary conditions used. All the simulation results are average of ten runs (realizations), each time using a different seed number for the random number generator.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Figure 5 shows the snapshot top-view images of two surfaces simulated for a CVD type of deposition at two different sticking coefficients. Figure 5 also displays their corresponding particle trajectories projected on the lateral plane. Qualitative network behavior can easily be realized in these simulated morphologies as the trajectories of re-emitted atoms “link” various surface points. It can also be seen that larger sticking coefficients [Figs. 5(b) and 5(d)] leads to fewer but longer range re-emissions, which are mainly among the peaks of columnar structures. Therefore, these higher surface points act as the “nodes” of the system. This is due to the shadowing effect where initial particles preferentially head on hills. They also have less chance to arrive down to valleys because of the high-sticking probabilities (see for example
particle A illustrated in Fig. 2). On the other hand, at lower sticking coefficients [Figs. 5(a) and 5(c)], particles now go through multiple re-emissions and can link many more surface points including the valleys that normally shadowed by higher surface points (particle B in Fig. 2). This behavior is better realized in “surface-degree” and their corresponding height-matrix plots of Fig. 6 measured for CVD grown films at two different sticking coefficients $s=0.1$ and $s=0.9$. The high values (darker colors) in surface-degree plots correspond to the highly connected surface sites where these sites get or redistribute most of the re-emitted particles. At smaller sticking coefficients [Fig. 6(a)], which leads to a smoother morphology, surface-degree values are quite uniform indicating a uniform re-emission process among hill to hills and hill to valleys. On the other hand, at high-sticking coefficients [Fig. 6(b)], the high degree nodes are mainly located around the column borders suggesting a dominant column-to-column re-emission. This is consistent with the shadowing effect where columns capture most of the incident particles because of their larger heights and also their borders are more likely to redistribute the particles toward the neighboring column sides because of the re-emission process used (i.e., cosine distribution centered along the local surface normal).

Another interesting observation revealed in our Monte Carlo simulations was the dynamic change of network behavior on the trajectories of re-emitted particles. Figure 7 shows top-view images and their corresponding particle trajectories obtained from the CVD simulations for a sticking coefficient of $s=0.9$ but this time at different film thicknesses that is proportional to the growth time. The dynamic change in the network topography can be clearly seen: at initial times, when the hills are smaller and more closely spaced, the re-emitted particles travel from one hill to another one or to a valley. However, as the film gets thicker, and some hills become higher than the others and get more separated, particles travel longer ranges typically among these growing hills. The shorter hills that get shadowed become the valleys of the system.

It is expected that this dynamic behavior should be strongly dependent on the values of sticking coefficients and angular distribution of the incident flux of particles, which determine the strength of re-emission and shadowing effects, respectively. In other words, each deposition technique and material system can have different dynamic-network behavior that can lead to various kinds of network systems. For example, as we will show later, the dynamic network among the surface points of a mounded CVD grown film can be quite different than the one among the nanorod and nanospring structures formed in an oblique-angle deposition system, where the shadowing effect is most dominant, and also the one during normal-angle evaporation, where shadowing effect is almost absent (re-emitted particles during normal-angle deposition can still lead to a minimal short-range shadowing effect).

In order to make a more quantitative analysis on the network characteristics of thin film growth dynamics, in Fig. 8, we plotted the degree distributions $P(k)$ (i.e., proportional to the percentage of surface points having “degree $k$” number of links through incoming or outgoing re-emitted particles),
average distance \((l_h)\) versus degree \(k\) (i.e., the average “lateral” distance particles travel that are re-emitted from or to surface sites having \(k\) number of links), and distance distributions \(P(l)\) (i.e., proportional to the probability of a re-emitted particle traveling lateral distance \(l\)) for Monte Carlo simulated normal-incidence evaporation, oblique-angle deposition, and CVD thin film growth for various sticking coefficients. The left and right columns in Fig. 8 correspond to the initial (thinner films) and later (thick films) stages of the growth times, respectively. First, the comparison of degree distributions [Figs. 8(a) and 8(b)] of normal-incidence and oblique-angle growths reveals that independent of the most sticking coefficients used and also their growth time, universal behavior exists for both deposition techniques: there is an exponential degree distribution for normal-angle evaporation (confirmed in the semi-log plots, not shown here) while this behavior is mainly power law for oblique-angle deposition with an exponential tail. Interestingly, quantitative values of degree distributions for both normal- and oblique-angle depositions also seem to be independent of the sticking coefficient used, which becomes clearer at later stages of the growth [Fig. 8(b)], leading to two distinct distributions for each deposition. The power law observed in degree distribution of oblique-angle deposition has a \(P(k) \sim k^{-2}\) behavior apparent at later stages. All these suggest the possibility of a universal behavior in normal- and oblique-angle growth independent of the sticking coefficient. This is quite striking since each different sticking coefficient corresponds to a different type of morphological growth (i.e., smoother surfaces for smaller sticking coefficients and rougher surfaces for higher sticking coefficients), yet the degree distribution in network traffic of re-emitted particles seems to reach a unique universal state.

As can be seen in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), the re-emission process which is the dominant process in normal-angle growth promotes an exponential degree distribution; while shadowing which is the governing effect during oblique-angle deposition leads to a power-law distribution. On the other hand, CVD shows an exponential degree distribution at initial times of the growth while it becomes closer to power-law type for higher sticking coefficients \(s > 0.5\). This is believed to be competing forces of re-emission and shadowing effects, where the re-emission is more dominant for smaller sticking coefficients and at initial times of the growth when the film is smoother, leading to an exponential degree distribution. However, shadowing effect originating from the obliquely incident particles within the angular distribution of CVD flux can lead to a power-law behavior at higher sticking coefficients especially when the film gets rougher at later stages of the growth. A power-law degree distribution corresponds to a more correlated network that is consistent with the long range, column-to-column traffic observed in surface-degree plots of high-sticking coefficient CVD above [Fig. 6(b)] It is also realized that especially for high-sticking coefficients, there exist high degree nodes represented with data points at the tails of the degree distributions. These relatively small percentage but highly connected nodes are mainly located at the column edges as seen in surface-degree plot of Fig. 6(b) and are likely to be the “hubs” of the network. Therefore, briefly, degree distribution during CVD growth can be similar to the universal line of normal-incidence growth for smaller sticking coefficients \((s < 0.5)\) showing an exponential behavior with a short-range network traffic; or it can converge to the universal power-law degree distribution of oblique-angle deposition for higher sticking coefficients \((s > 0.5)\) leading to a highly correlated network driven mostly at column edges.

In addition, it is revealed from average distance versus degree plots of Figs. 8(c) and 8(d) that nodes with high degree are mainly linked with long-distance surface points. Independent of the deposition method, the average distance changes with degree \(k\) according to a power-law behavior, where the value of exponent increases as the flux become more oblique [i.e., \(A_0 \rightarrow A_85 \rightarrow\text{CVD in Figs. 8(c) and 8(d)}\)], sticking coefficient increases and the film gets thicker [i.e., Figs. 8(c) and 8(d)]. In other words, when shadowing effect becomes more dominant and film morphology gets more columnar, high degree nodes can exchange atoms with longer distance surface points. This also implies that high degree nodes placed on column edges [Fig. 6(b)] of high-sticking-coefficient growth are more likely to transfer particles with far away other column edges as well. This process is further supported by the distance distribution plots of Figs. 8(e) and 8(f) where as the sticking coefficient is increased (i.e., less re-emission) and more obliquely deposited particles are introduced (more shadowing effect), more percentage particles start to travel longer distances. On the other hand, for smaller sticking coefficients and normal-angle deposition, where the re-emission effect is more dominant, average distances particles travel from high degree nodes become significantly less compared to high-sticking coefficient and oblique-angle depositions. This suggests networking during re-emission dominated growth occurs mainly among smaller size hills and valleys, consistent with the surface-degree plot of Fig. 6(a).

A more interesting universal behavior is observed in a “weighted and scaled average distance” versus degree plots of Figs. 9(a) and 9(b). Here we rescale average distance for nodes with degree \(k\) and \(l_h\), first with degree \(k\), then with
the average distance value of all nodes \( \langle l \rangle \) (average distance of all links), and plot \( \langle l \rangle / (k(l)) \) versus \( k \). After rescaling, independent of the deposition technique used, sticking coefficients, and the growth time, all curves fall on a similar line obeying a power-law behavior with \( \langle l \rangle / (k(l)) \sim k^{-1.2} \). The origin of the \(-1.2\) value of the exponent is not clear and is under investigation.

Another universal behavior is observed in distance distribution plots: independent of sticking coefficients, normal-incidence growth shows a power-law behavior with \( P(l) \sim l^{-3} \). A similar power-law behavior with an exponent of \(-2.75\) has been observed in the distance distribution plots during a normal-incidence growth simulation with re-emission (p. 83 of Ref. 14). The authors of that work did not use a snapshot state approach, surface morphology continuously changed, and therefore they measured a kind of average distance distribution of the whole growth simulation. However, their exponent value is still close to our results and agrees with our findings that dynamic network behavior during normal-incidence deposition does not change significantly due to the relatively smooth morphology throughout the growth. On the other hand, the behavior in distance distribution plots is exponential for oblique-angle deposition (confirmed in the semi-log plots, not shown here). CVD has a power-law behavior similar to that of normal-incidence growth with \( P(l) \sim l^{-3} \) at smaller coefficients and at initial times of the growth, and becomes exponential similar to oblique-angle deposition at higher sticking coefficients apparent especially later stages of the growth.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we presented a network modeling approach for various thin film growth techniques that incorporates re-
emitted particles due to the nonunit sticking coefficients. We define a network link when a particle is re-emitted from one surface site to another one. Monte Carlo simulations are used to grow films and dynamically track the trajectories of re-emitted particles. We performed simulations for normal incidence, oblique angle, and CVD techniques. Each deposition method leads to a different dynamic evolution of surface morphology due to different sticking coefficients involved and different strength of shadowing effect originating from the obliquely incident particles. Traditional dynamic scaling analysis on surface morphology cannot point to any universal behavior. On the other hand, our detailed network analysis reveals that there exist universal behaviors in degree distributions, weighted average degree versus degree, and distance distributions independent of the sticking coefficient used and sometimes even independent of the growth technique. We also observe that network traffic during high-sticking coefficient CVD and oblique-angle deposition occurs mainly among edges of the columnar structures formed while it is more uniform and short range among hills and valleys of small sticking coefficient CVD and normal-angle depositions that produce smoother surfaces.

FIG. 9. (Color online) Weighted average distance \( \langle l_d(k) \rangle / (k(f)) \) versus degree \( k \) for network models of a Monte Carlo simulated normal-incidence evaporation (A0), oblique-angle deposition (A85), and CVD thin film growth for various sticking coefficients \( s \) and for two different deposition time \( t \): (a) \( t=1.25 \times 10^3 \) particles and (b) \( t=23.75 \times 10^3 \) particles are shown.
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20Survey of experimental \( \beta \) values is obtained by updating the data presented in Ref. 15.